UNIVERSITY IMPACT DISTRICT REVIEW BOARD

111 North Front Street, Third Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 P (614) 645-6096 F (614) 645-6675



MEETING SUMMARY

date April 19, 2018

place Northwood & High Building

2231 North High Street, Room 100

time **6:30pm – 8:05pm**

present Keoni Fleming, Abby Kravitz, Pasquale Grado, Kay Bea Jones, Doreen Uhas-Sauer, Frank Petruziello

absent Stephen Papineau

A. 6:30 - 6:34

Business of the Board

1. Approval of Meeting Summary from February 2018

Mr. Ferdelman reviewed several items from the February Agenda.

motion by

Ms. Uhas-Sauer/ Mr. Grado

motion

To approve the Meeting Summary as submitted.

vote 6-0 to Approve

В.

Applications for Certificate of Approval 1400 North High Street

Uncommon

app no.: applicant:

Stan Young (Trinity Sign Co.)

UID-18-04-014

reviewed: **building signage**

6:34 – 6:48

1.

- Mr. Young reviewed the sign design and locations
- Ms. Jones requested that the UNCOMMON sign be centered on architectural bay, not over the door.
- Mr. Petruziello questioned why the graphic changes from one building face to the other.
- Mr. Young replied that the owner opted for these graphics.
- Mr. Petruziello stated that the signs are too different.
- Mr. Grado suggested a linear sign on the north elevation, similar to the sign on the west elevation.
- The Board and applicant discussed the corner of 7th and High for additional signage.

motion by motion

Mr. Petruziello/ Ms. Jones

To approve the signs and graphics on the condition:

- 1. That UNCOMMON wall sign on the west elevation shall be centered on the projected bay and be located at the same vertical position as submitted and reviewed.
- 2. That on the north elevation a linear UNCOMMON wall sign be installed at the same vertical position as the west sign but may be eccentric to the wall it is attached.

vote

6-0 to Approve.

2. 1892-1928 North High Street The Wellington

applicant: Stephen Caplinger, Bethany Rutter (Edwards Companies)

reviewed: leasing office signage | building signage 6:48 - 7:00

UID-18-04-015

Mr. Szymanski and Mr. Rutter reviewed the sign designs and locations.

- Mr. Szymanski reviewed the changes to the stone work on the south elevation.
- Mr. Petruziello suggested that the sign letters should be thicker and flush to the stone.
- Mr. Fleming concurred about the thickness.
- Mr. Grado and Mr. Petruziello raised a concern about the pin offset and possible vandalism.
- Ms. Rutter commented that channel letters would be next to impossible to build at the size of the letters.
- Ms. Jones complemented the scale and location of the signs.

motion by Ms. Jone

app no.:

Ms. Jones / Ms. Uhas-Sauer

To approve the signs and graphics as submitted on the condition:

- 1. That the sign letters be composed of thicker gauge metal, a minimum depth of 3/8".
- 2. That the sign letters be flush mounted.

vote 6-0 to Approve

3. 1444 North High Street Mixed Use

app no.: UID-18-02-007

7:00 - 7:25

applicant: Bob Mickley (Buckeye Real Estate), Jason Stults (dkb Architects)

reviewed: Conceptual Review - new mixed use

Mr. Stults reviewed the program and design.

- The Board and Applicants discussed the proposed and existing building layout.
- Ms. Jones enquired about the western most units on the existing building and the loss of windows and the entry door.
- Mr. Petruziello requested plans and sections showing the integration of new and old building.
- Mr. Stults reviewed the relative floor heights of existing and proposed.
- Mr. Petruziello disagreed with the assessment of floor/parapet heights; a building section will
 resolve the disputes.
- Mr. Mickley stated that they wanted to get a clearer idea of the design prior to going deeper into drawings.
- Ms. Jones replied that there is no design without section; the section may completely upset your current understanding of the proposed design; don't try to put bad on to worse.
- Mr. Fleming commented that by butting the new building right up against the old, you are
 making things worse for the existing tenants; the old building will be left in shadow; the end
 units are probably the only good units in the complex due to the west facing windows.
- Mr. Grado confirmed that the Board did discuss the quality of the courtyard space at the last meeting; the courtyard/the entry to the units behind need to be expressed on the new building.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the building should be seen as an addition/extrusion of the
 existing building; not happy about unit in the middle; two separate buildings.
- Ms. Jones concurred that the passage should be a shared experience for old and new; not a barrier that the old tenants need to pass through as second class citizens.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that once they are two buildings, they could be different (Fred and Ginger).
- Mr. Stults expressed concern in reducing the retail floor plates.
- Mr. Petruziello suggested that the floor plates will be approx. 1200 to 1300sf, a good size; the comments are similar to what was said last month.
- Mr. Fleming recalled that the Board was concern that the proposal maxed out the envelope

- of the new without consideration of the existing units behind.
- Mr. Ferdelman reviewed the comments from the March meeting; the comments focused on addressing the building behind; to not completely block light and air; the new could be two buildings; the façade could use a little funk.
- Mr. Stults replied that they produced a proposal that was aspirational of how the block may go in the future.
- Mr. Fleming reiterated that the Board is looking for a building that compliments the layout of the existing structure.
- Mr. Petruziello commented that the façade needs more character whether it is done in a traditional or modern aesthetic.
- Mr. Grado enquired whether the retail or residential commanded more rent per square foot.
- Mr. Petruziello confirmed that the retail generates more revenue per square foot.

motion

Tabled

Things to Consider:

- 1. Provide building sections and other elevations.
- 2. Express the entry to the make units better, possibly make opening wider.
- 3. New buildings should be a part of the building behind.
- 4. Lose the bridge residential unit.
- 5. Light and air should not be compromised.

C.

Applications for Zoning, Code Enforcement and/or Conceptual Review

99 East 11th Avenue

Multi-Family Residential

app no.: applicant:

1.

UID-18-02-006

Bob Mickley (Buckeye Real Estate), Jason Stults (dkb Architects)

Conceptual Review - demo & new multi-family

reviewed: **7:25 – 7:45**

- Mr. Stults reviewed the modifications in the site plan and buildings.
- Mr. Petruziello suggested pushing and pulling the walls in which the doors are located; in an
 effort to enhance the pedestrian experience and downplay the garages; expressed the need
 for something other than white siding in the recesses.
- Ms. Jones recommended looking into novel materials for the entry element.
- Mr. Grado suggested moving the handicap spaces to edge and reconfigure the in between space for more landscaping/greenspace.
- Mr. Mickley stated that the parking count may be able to come down without affecting the need for a variance.
- Ms. Kravitz suggested additional landscaping along 10th Avenue

motion

Tabled

Things to Consider:

- 1. Accentuate the man doors through a push and pull of an entry vestibules element; element could be composed of novel materials.
- 2. Additional landscaping between the buildings.
- 3. Trees and landscaping along 10th Avenue.

2. 1503-1505 North 4th Street Multi-Family Residential UID-18-01-006

applicant: Julie Bullock (Julie Bullock Architects)
reviewed: Zoning Recommendation – new multi-far

reviewed: Zoning Recommendation – new multi-family 7:45 – 7:54

- Ms. Bullock reviewed the program and building design, she informed the Board of the recommendations from WP and the UAC.
- The Board and Applicant discussed whether a garage(s) was appropriate.
- Mr. Grado replied that a garage was not necessary.
- Ms. Jones commented that a garage would help define and improve the rear yard experience.
- Ms. Uhas-Sauer mentioned the UAC comments regarding a mural on the northern most building.
- Ms. Bullock replied that the mural will be fully documented for the community.
- Mr. Petruziello suggested that the building should address the alley as a corner rather than
 having the symmetrical pattern as shown; the first floor windows should be taller than the
 second story windows; the second story windows should not be shrunken.
- Mr. Fleming suggested using brick on the north unit.

motion by Ms. Uhas-Sauer/ Mr. Grado

motion To support the requested rezoning and variances to advance the proposal for further design

review.

vote 6-0 to Approve

D.	Staff Issued Certificates of Approval		
7:54 – 8:0	5	items approved	
1.	UID_18-01-009	windows	
	1554-1568 Worthington Street		
2.	UID_18-03-009	roof	
	158-160 East 11th Avenue		
3.	UID_18-03-010	roof	
	270 East 12th Avenue		
4.	UID_18-03-011	roof	
	142-150 West 8th Avenue		
5.	UID_18-03-12	minor window modifications	
	1892-1928 North High Street		
	(The Wellington - Modifications)		
6.	UID_18-03-013	windows	
	470-472 King Avenue	Williaows	
7.	UID_18-03-014	windows	
	75 West 8th Avenue		
8.	UID_18-03-015	walkway	
0.	1975 Indianola Avenue		
9.	UID_18-03-016	tent	
3.	1728 North High Street		
10.	UID_18-04-001	windows	
10.	1720 North 4th Street	Williaows	
11.	UID_18-04-002	sign	
	4-18 East Patterson Avenue		
12.	UID_18-04-003	sign	
	1806 North 4th Street	31511	
13.	UID_18-04-004	sign	
	230-232 Chittenden Avenue		
14.	UID_18-04-005	sign	
14.	126-128 Chittenden Avenue		

126-128 Chittenden Avenue

15.	UID_18-04-006 136-138 Chittenden Avenue	sign
16.	UID_18-04-007 140-142 Chittenden Avenue	sign
17.	UID_18-04-008 146-148 Chittenden Avenue	sign
18.	UID_18-04-009 261-265 East Northwood Avenue	sign
19.	UID_18-04-010 2166 Indianola Avenue	sign
20.	UID_18-04-011 2160-2162 Indianola Avenue	sign
21.	UID_18-04-012 1571 North 4th Street	windows
22.	UID_18-04-013 1956 North High Street (QDOBA)	
23.	UID-04-016 2265 North High Street window	
motion by motion	Mr. Uhas-Sauer / Mr. Petruziello To approve as submitted.	
vote	6-0 to Approve.	

E.	Board Approved Applications Issued Certificates of Approval			
		approved: items approved	COA issued	
	UID_18-03-002			
1.	1892-1928 North High Street	03/15/2018: lighting	03/16/2018	
	(The Wellington)			
	UID-18-01-002			
2.	1924 North High Street	01/18/2018: signage	04/02/2018	
	(Chick-Fil-A_Signs)			
	UID_18-01-002	04/40/2040:		
3.	1924 North High Street	01/18/2018: storefront, patio	04/12/2018	
	(Chick-Fil-A_Storefront)			

F.

Next Meeting Thursday May 17, 2018 | 6:30pm | TBD